

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
ABSTRACT

Public Servants – Transport Department – Allegation of collection of illegal amounts from the Transport Operators against the officials of the office of the Deputy Transport Commissioner, Guntur – Smt. G.Mamata Prasad, the then Regional Transport Officer - Placed before the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings on her defence against – Further action dropped – Orders – Issued.

=====

TRANSPORT, ROADS AND BUILDINGS (VIG.III.2) DEPARTMENT

G.O.Rt.No.685.

Dated: 2nd July, 2012.
Read the following:-

- 1) From the Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Hyderabad Letter C.No.40/DES-VGT/2004, Dated 12.10.2004.
- 2) Govt. Letter No.12019/Vig.III/2/2004-3, TR&B.Department, Dated 12.07.2005.
- 3) From the Secretary, Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings, Hyderabad, Lr.Dis.No.S/10/2010, Dated 29.05.2010.
- 4) Govt.Memo.No.12019/Vig.III/2/2004-14, TR&B.Department, Dated 08.11.2010.
- 5) From Smt. G.Mamata Prasad, the then RTO representation Dated 20.12.2010.
- 6) From the Transport Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad Letter No.5656/V3/2004, Dated 08.03.2011.

O R D E R:

Based on the surprise check conducted by the Anti Corruption Bureau Officials on 27/28.5.2004, over the office of the Deputy Transport Commissioner, Guntur Smt. G.Mamata Prasad, the then Regional Transport Officer and holding full additional charge of the post of Deputy Transport Commissioner, Guntur was placed on her defence before the Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings along with other officials vide Government letter 2nd read above.

2. The Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings has framed the following charge against Smt. G.Mamatha Prasad:--

“that Smt. G.Mamatha Prasad while working as Regional transport Officer holding in-charge Deputy Transport Commissioner, Guntur was actuated by corrupt motive and in abuse of her official position failed to supervise the staff and thus facilitated and encouraged the illegal activities of the staff by appointing private persons for collection of illegal amounts from public in the office itself and thereby she is guilty of misconduct within the meaning of Rule 3 of Andhra Pradesh, Civil Services (Conduct) Rules, 1964 read with Rule 2(b) of Andhra Pradesh, Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Rules, 1989 framed under the Andhra Pradesh, Civil Services (Disciplinary Proceedings Tribunal) Act, 1960 as amended in 1993”.

3. The Tribunal for Disciplinary Proceedings has conducted a detailed enquiry and submitted its report in TEC No.9/2006 through letter 3rd read above duly holding that the charge framed against her is established.

4. In the Memo. 4th read above a copy of the enquiry report has been communicated to Smt. G.Mamata Prasad along with others for her representation if any in the matter for which, she submitted her representation vide 5th read above stating inter-alia that the categorical

P.T.O.,

admissions by the mediator, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Anti-Corruption Bureau and Inspector, Anti-Corruption Bureau and the office Superintendent establishes beyond doubt that there is no complaint against her or the staff of office of the Deputy Transport Commissioner, Guntur; that no illegal amounts were recovered from her; that even as per the Anti-Corruption Bureau manual, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Anti-Corruption Bureau is not competent to conduct any surprise check proceedings and hence the very surprise check proceedings are illegal; that there is no evidence nor any investigation by the Anti-Corruption Bureau that any of the vehicle owners paid any illegal amount to any of the private persons.

5. She has also stated that none of the owners of the vehicles neither the customers whose names were referred were produced nor their statements recorded to ascertain whether they have paid any illegal gratification to any of the private persons or the charged officers was obtained; that the private persons who were detailed for one full night categorically stated that they had to depose under threat, force and coercion for the fear that they might be arrested.

6. In his letter 6th read above, the Transport Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad has stated that it is a fact that Smt. G.Mamata Prasad, while holding full additional charge of the post of the Deputy Transport Commissioner, Guntur has taken up concrete steps to streamline the working of the office and has taken several measures to control the unauthorized agents in the office by strengthening help desks etc.; that the surprise check by Anti-Corruption Bureau took place after 6.00 p.m. and that being a lady she has discharged her official duties upto 5.30 P.M. and left for house and she cannot remain in the office after office hours and for whatever took place in her absence she cannot be held responsible and therefore stated that the representation needs favourable consideration as the surprise check was done after the working hours when she was not there.

7. Government after careful examination of the matter have observed that since Smt. G.Mamata Prasad was not present at the time of surprise check and whatever took place in her absence, she cannot be held responsible and therefore decided to drop further action against her.

8. Accordingly, Government hereby drop further action against Smt. G. Mamatha Prasad, formerly Regional Transport Officer and now Deputy Transport Commissioner.

9. The Transport Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad shall take further action in the matter.

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR OF ANDHRA PRADESH)

D.LAKSHMI PARTHASARATHY,
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To
Smt. G.Mamata Prasad, Deputy Transport Commissioner through Transport Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

The Transport Commissioner, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

Copy to:

The Director General, Anti Corruption Bureau, Hyderabad.

The Secretary, Andhra Pradesh Vigilance Commission, Hyderabad.

SF/SC.

//FORWARDED :: BY ORDER//

SECTION OFFICER.